G. R. S. 58]

Previous references.

71 File No. 121-13

1913.

Serial Nos. 168

(Forest)

Later references.

71-49-18(Kl)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 168

Part B for August 1916

SUBJECT.

Petition from Sheshappa of Karlamangala, Magadi Tk complaining that no compensation has been paid to him for certain lands included in the Savandurga State Forest in 1891

To Sheshappa No R 1465 Dt 3.8.16
Informs him that orders have been issued to the Conservator directing the restoration of 2a 4 28g of land to him.

B.W. Krishna Murty
4.9.16

W D 1351—GPB—20,000—13-12-15

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Inst)

NOTES.

File No. 21-13

FILE HEADING.

Petition from the Bangalore Dist

From

Sesharpa, son of Doddanubbiah, Kurlammagala village, Madaba hobli, Magadi Tk.

No.	Dated	Received

Diary No. 4427.	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Represents that he was not yet received compensation for the some of his lands which were taken up for the formation of some State Road on some 20 years back. Prays that either the lands may be given back or the compensation paid.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The Petn was presented to the First Councillor during his tour. His orders on the Petn are

" Rev Dept, the Dy Comr or Coms should be asked to report, if the case is pending

NOTES—First Sheet.

GPB—35,000—15-6-12

General and Revenue Secretariat. | F & C File No. 12 | of 1913.

Serial No.

: accuracy ih has not been decided
: so long. If the allegations are as made,
: the delay is quite scandalous".
Lieut Hon 23/12/13.

Attempt to canc' bnd.

15 9/1 SS 13/1/14

Issue 13/1

Sm 2. To Conservator No R 5463 dated 21-1-1914 calls for report on the petn.

3 From the Conservator of Forests - No-7640 dt 23-3-16.

submits for information copy of an ad-in-terim report subd by the Dy. Conr. in the matter -

No attempt seems to have been made to find out the cause of the delay of 20 years.

The report promised may be awaited.

30-3-16. YB

30/3/16 1-4-16

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—60,000—7-11-13

G. R. S. 12]

6270 (3)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Ft File No. 121 of 1913.

Serial No.	
4	From the Conservator of Forests. No 11417 of 11. 5. 16 Forwards by Commr's letter no 6218 of 25. 4. 16 submitting a further report on the petn and states that the land in question may be restored to Seshappa as proposed by the Dy. Commr. Submitted for orders. The Conservator fails to explain the unusually long delay of 20 years in disposing of the case. May he be asked to report on the delay? Attention is respectfully invited to the 1st member's note on the petition. OK 15. 5. 16 May the restoration of the lands to the petr. be appd, as they are stated to be outside the demarcation line of the forest? Yes. Please put up a suitable draft. 15/5/16 May 16/5 Draft submitted for approval (1st Member). OK 20/5/16 22/5/16 Mr Ramachandra Rao 20/5

22/5/16

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—60,000—7-11-13

(4)

6278

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 4-191 of 1915.

Serial No.

12

This is a very Sunny way of dealing with Forest offences against Govt. Nothing about the acquisition.

When man has slept over his rights for 20 years there is no need to have the rights declared. Complainant the whole thing seems a bit of a business.

I want full information as regards 1. Extent involved

2. Approx. Value of growth (20 years growth is considerable)

3. Has the Extent been handed over to Forest management

4. How is the land used whether waste. Has it developed as a reserve. & excluded from the Ker area.

The report the C.F. should furnish can be dispatched within 2 weeks.

26/5

13. Please put up draft.

26/5

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GP13—70,000—17-12-15

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. Jt 121 of 1913.

Serial No.

14 Draft Letter
M.S.
27.5.16.

15. Issue
S
29.5.16

S.W.O

5 To The Honor of Forests
No. R 11110 & 29-5-16

Requests him to submit a report with the information reqd to above within two weeks.

The report was due on the 14th & has not yet been received
Draft reminder subs
M.S.
16/6/16. Regd)
S
16/6/16

Issued (No. 11973 & 16/6/16)

6 From the Conservator of Forests,
No. 12822 & 22.6.16.

Forwards copy of the Bangalore D.F.O.'s Forest officer's letter which furnishes full information on all the points referred to in G.O. 81.5

(i) The District Forest officer states:-
(1) That the extent of the S. nos in question is 2a, 28g, but that according to Khatrani it is 3a, 36g.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G[illegible]—20,000—17-12-15

(6

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 121 of 1913.

Serial No.

(2) That the value of the forest growth on the lands is Rs. 75/- as estimated by the Range officer & Rs. 150/- as estimated by the Amildar.

(3) That the extent is not in the management of the Forest Dept. as it is outside the demarcation line.

(4) That there is no reference to show that the lands have been notified as a reserve and that from the Khetwar of the year Kirvoli it is seen that area of the three S. Nos. in question along with some other Nos. has been ordered to be omitted from the revenue accounts as the same has ordered to be included in the State Forests

This is a mere paraphrase of the Enclosure to P. R. D. which we are expected to send. Such notes may be avoided. Secy 6/7

17) The above information was called for as pointed out by the 1st member in paragraph 12 of the Notes (page 4). Also the area of the 35 Nos. tallies with that given out by the Dist. Forest Officer, there is a difference between it & that given in the Khetwar.

18) The District Forest Officer does not state ~~whose~~ in whose possession the land was as it is stated to be ~~said it is~~ outside the demarcation line.

19) The D. F. O. explains the delay for 2 years is since Jany. 1914 and does not say anything for the delay of previous

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPE—70,000—17-12-15

Serial No.

years. The Conservator merely forwards the D. F. O's letter.

20) In his petn. of 23.12.1913, the petr. states that he has been petitioning his Taluk all along and that no action was taken on his representations till 1912, when he got an endorsement. He has not produced any papers in support of his statement.

21) There are no papers in this office relating to the reservation of lands for the Savandurga Forest. It cannot be ascertained from the Gazette Notifn. of 10.4.84 (page 134) what lands were taken up for inclusion in the forest ~~from~~ out of the Karlamangala village.

Hag L

Subd for orders. ~~[illegible]~~

Pkr 30/6/8

22) The Enclosure to the paper under disposal viz the 4.7.16 D.F.O's letter furnishes information on some of the points raised by the 7th Member in his note at para 12, but the long delay of nearly 20 years remains unexplained.

23) The main issue has

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—70,000—17-12-15

8

7424.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 71 121 of 1913.

Serial No.

Given a Statement, agreeing to take back the lands. This may be sanctioned.

(Mr Member)

Mr Hamid Chandrasekara 6/7/16

166 6.7.16

(24) Sanction the resolution as recorded on the day. Value of fuel & growth need not be reserved the extent to be 2.28.

(25) The Vavuniya Rest House be reserved for reference pending disposal of the matter.

for Excl. 7.7.16

(26) Draft submitted with Revised) Approved 20/7 20.7.16

14.7.16 Secretary

Mr 22/7

B/ 7. 30. The Honble the Rev. Chairman, the Deputy Chairman, Bungalow & the Supdt of Rev. Surveys.

No. R 1101-4 D 25.7.16.

Directs the restoration of 2.28 extents of land, belonging to the Nos. 110, 113, 114 & 115 of the petr without recovering the value of tree growth thereon.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

G.P.O. 70,000- 17-12-15

7624 (9

G. R. S. 12j

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 121 of 1913.

Serial No.

Subd. with draft of an endorsement intimating the decision to the petr.

Bm 26/7 Issue Mm 31.7

29.7.16

B/

8 To Seshappa of Karlamangala, endr. No. 1165 of 3.8.16.

Informs him that orders have been issued to the Conservator directing the restoration of 2a, 28g of land to the petr.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—70.000—17-12-15

DIARY No. 4427

19 -19

SECTION JC

Rev. Deptt.-

The Dy Com Cons. shd be asked to report if the case is pending & why it has not been decided so long. If the allegations are as made, the delay is quite scandalous.

23/12/13

7229/7229

MEMO

On Petitions received by the Dewan.

No.—

DATE OF PETITION— 23-3-1915—

FROM WHOM—

Seshappa,
Kanlamangla,
Magadi Taluk.

SUBJECT—

Represents that he has not been paid any compensation for the land acquired from him for the purposes of a State Forest, although it is 2 years since that the land were acquired.

8&4
24
1908-

GPB—1,000—31-7-14

11

ಸಿಸ್ಟಂ ಸಾಲಾಂ ಶೆ ಸೀಲಾ ಪಿಲಾಲ್ಲಿ ಎಲಿ ಬಾಪ ಪಸ್ಪಾಲರ
ಸ್ಪಲಾಲಾಂ ಎಲ ಕ್ಲಾಲಾಲ್ಲ.

ಸಲಾ ಸಲಿಪಾಲ್ಲಿ ಸಲಾಲ ಪಾಲಾಲಾ ಪೆಲ್ಲಾಲ ಎಲ್ಲ ಕ-ಲಲ ಸಲಾಲ್ಲಿ ಪಪೆ ಲಲ ಸಲಿಲ
ಪೆಲ್ಲಿ ಲಾಲ ಪಲಾ ಕೆಲ್ಲಿ ಲಿ ಲೆಲಿಲಾಲ

ಲಾಲಾಲಾಲಿ ಸಲಾಲ್ಲಿ ಸಲಾ ಸಲ ಕ್ಲಾಲ ಪಾಲಾಪೆಲಾಲ್ಲಿ ಪೆಲ್ಲಿ ಸಸಲಿ 110 - 113
114 - 115- ಲಾಲಾಲಾಲ ಲಲಸ್ಲಿ ಪ ಲಾಲಾಲಾಲಿ ಲ ಪೆಲ್ಲಲಾಲಿ ಸ್ಲಾ ಲಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ಲಿ
ಲಾಲಾಲ್ಲಿ 24 ಸಲಾಲ ಸ ಲಿ. ಶೆಲ ಪಲ ಪೆಲ್ಲಿ ಲಲಾಲ ಲೆಲಾಲಾಲಾಲಾಲಿ
ಕ-ಸಲ್ಲಿ ಕ್ಲಾಲಾಲಾಲಾಲ ಸಲಿಲ ಶೆಲಾಲಾಲ್ಲಿ ಸಲ್ಲಾಲಾಲಾಪ ಸ ಲಲಸಲ ಸ್ಲ
ಲಲಿ ಸಲಿ ಸ್ಲಿ ಸೆಲಾಲಾ ಲಾ ಸ್ಲಿಲಾಲ್ಲಿಲಲಾಲ ಲಾಲಾ ಲಲ-ಲಾಲಿಶಲ್ಲ
ಕ್ಲಾಲಾಲಾ ಲಿ ಲಲ ಲಲಿ ಸ್ಲಾಲಾಲಾಲಿ ಶ ಲಾಲಾಲ್ಲಿ ಸಲಾಲಾ ಲಾ ಶಲಾ
ಕ್ಲಾಲಾಲಾಲಾಲ್ಲಿ ಲಾಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ಲಿಲಾಲಾಲ್ಲಿ ಲಾಲಾ ಲಲಾಲಾಲಾಲಾ ಲಿಲಾಲಾಲಾಲಾ
ಲಲಿ ಸಲಾ ಶೆಲ ಲಾ ಸಲಿಲಾಲ್ಲಿಲ್ಲಿ ಸಲಾಲಾ ಲಲಾಲಾಲಾಲಾಲಾ ಲಿಲಾಲಾಲಾಲಾ
ಲಿಲಾಲಾಲಾಲಾಲಾ

DIARY No. 605-2

ಸಿ.ಆರ್.ಪಿ.ಸಿ. ಸೆಕ್ಷನ್ 482 ರಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಈ ಕ್ರಿಮಿನಲ್ ಅರ್ಜಿಯ ಸಂಕ್ಷಿಪ್ತ ವಿವರಗಳು ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡಂತಿವೆ.

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಮೊದಲನೇ ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯ ಮಾವನವರಾಗಿದ್ದು, ಎರಡನೇ ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯು ಮೊದಲನೇ ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯ ಪತ್ನಿಯಾಗಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಮೊದಲನೇ ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯು ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರ ಮಗಳಾದ ಎರಡನೇ ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯನ್ನು ಮದುವೆಯಾಗಿ ಸುಮಾರು ಒಂದು ವರ್ಷ ಕಾಲ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರ ಮನೆಯಲ್ಲಿಯೇ ವಾಸವಾಗಿದ್ದು, ಆ ಸಮಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಮೊದಲನೇ ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಗೆ ಸುಮಾರು ರೂ. 2 ಲಕ್ಷಗಳಷ್ಟು ಹಣವನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಆ ಹಣವನ್ನು ಮೊದಲನೇ ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯು ಹಿಂತಿರುಗಿಸಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಅಲ್ಲದೆ ಮೊದಲನೇ ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯು ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರ ಮಗಳಿಗೆ ಕಿರುಕುಳ ನೀಡಿ ಆಕೆಯನ್ನು ಮನೆಯಿಂದ ಹೊರಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಆಕೆಯು ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರ ಮನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ವಾಸವಾಗಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

Petn of seshappa re non payment of compensation for some lands taken for a tank some to govt bank.

14

G. R. S. 26]

File No. 121-13

Serial No. 2

15/1

Diary No. of letter replied to 6627

No. R 5263

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Fort)

, the 191 .

To

The Comr of Forts

Enc

Sir

Date of issue 21-1-1916

I am directed to forward herewith copy of a petn from Seshappa Son of Doda Subbiah, of Karlamangala Village, Madubala Hobli, Magadi Taluk, Complaining that he has not yet received compensation for some occupied lands of his taken for the formation of some

Signed by Mr. C. Venkatarao

over.

SUBJECT.

15

Forest about about 20 years back.
and to request to kindly submit
an early report as to whether the
Case is pending and if so what the cause
of delay in the disposal
has not been decided so long, of the case is.
It has to be observed that if
the allegations are as made,
the delay is quite ~~same~~ scandalous.
I am therefore to request you
to kindly do institute enquiries
at once & report the results
soon.

may be
omitted

March

No. R 5464

No 91. H- 121-13-3 List of enclosures,

Endorsement.

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—25,000—4-8-13

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

17

Office of the Conservator of Forests in Mysore.

Dated, Bangalore, the 23rd March 1916.

No. 9640 81

From

M.G.Rama Rao, Esq.,B.A.,

Conservator of Forests in Mysore.

13-14
121-13

To

V.R.Thyagaraja Iyer, Esq., M.A.,

Secretary to Government of

H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

Revenue Department.

Sir,

Referring to the correspondence, resting with my letter No. 9412 dated the 16th Instant, regarding the complaint of Seshappa, son of Doddasubbiah of Karlamangala village, Magadi Taluk about the non-payment of compensation for certain occupied lands of his taken for a forest some twenty years ago, I have the honour to forward herewith for the information of Government a copy of letter No. 550 dated the 18th Instant from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District. The receipt of the further report promised by the Deputy Commissioner regarding the settlement of the claim may be awaited.

I have the honour to be,

sir,

your most obedient servant,

Conservator of Forests in Mysore.

RA.

Copy of letter No. 550 dated the 18th March 1916 from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, to the Conservator of Forests in Mysore.

With reference to your Demi-Official letter No. 9413 dated the 12/16th Current, regarding the complaint of Seshappa of Karlamangala Village about the non-payment of compensation for certain lands of his taken over by the Forest Department more than 30 years ago, I have the honour to state that the land in question, was acquired in the year 1891, and while cutting the Demarcation Line of the Savandurga State Forest, it has been excluded. There is a thick growth of trees standing on the area at present, and it may be restored to the petitioner, as it is outside the present Demarcation line. It is suspected that said K.Seshappa would demand compensation for all these years if it is restored, but I think that, as he has not paid any assessment for the land during the period, no compensation need be paid. However, the Amildar, Magadi, has been asked to report with No. 972 dated the 3rd current, whether the man would prefer either to take the land or the compensation thereof, and if the latter, what would be the amount of compensation, and his reply is still awaited. An urgent remider has this day been sent to him in the matter and on receipt of his reply, some settlement will be done and the same intimated to you .

* S.Nos 110, 113, 114 & 115.

Conservator of Forests in Mysore.

RA.

307

Rev. Forests]

OFFICE OF THE CONSERVATOR OF FORESTS IN MYSORE.

No. 11417 Bangalore, dated 11th May 1916.

DIARY No. 6278

Enclosures 1

From

M.G. Rama Rao, Esquire, B.A.,
RAI BAHADUR M. MUTHANNA, ESQ.,
Conservator of Forests in Mysore.

121-13

To

V.B. Thyagaraja Iyer, Esquire, M.A.,
Secretary to the Govt of Mysore,
Revenue Department.

SIR,

In continuation of my letter No 9640 dated the 23rd March last, I have the honour to forward herewith for the information of Government, copy of letter No 621 dated the 25th ultimo, from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore, submitting a further report regarding the complaint of Seshappa of Karlamangala village, and to state that the land in question may be restored to Seshappa as proposed by the Deputy Commissioner.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Conservator of Forests.

Copy of letter No. 621 dated the 25th April 1916 from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, to the Conservator of Forests in Mysore:-

---

In continuation of my letter No. 550 dated the 18th ultimo, regarding the complaint of Seshappa of Karlamangala village, Magadi Taluk, I have the honour to state that the petitioner has given in his statement before the Amildar on 29-3-1916 that he is not willing to take any compensation for his lands included in the State Forest, but that he prefers to have it restored to him.

2. The Mahazardars state that about 50 or 60 cart-loads of fuel can be had in the locality, such as Halasu, Honge, etc. trees and that the cost of the land may be estimated at about Rs. 150/- in all. Under the circumstances, I am of opinion that the land in question may be given over to the applicant.

M.N.

for Conservator of Forests.

G. R. S. 26]

File No. [illegible]

Serial No. 5

Diary No. of letter replied to 6278

No. R. 11110

General and Revenue Secretariat

([illegible])

, *the* 191 .

Date of issue 29-5-1916

Signed by [illegible]

Supdt.

To The Conservator of Forests

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 11417 dt 11-5-1916 recommending that the ~~complaint of~~ lands belonging to one Seshappa of Karkamangala Hobli Mayadi Taluk I am directed to request you to furnish full information on the following points may kindly be furnished in two weeks time together with the connected records:—(1) What is the extent of the lands involved

which had been acquired in 1891. Seen in the Savandurga State Forest may be restored to him, as he does not want money compensation

over.

SUBJECT.

2. What is the approximate value of the forest growth on the lands?

3. Has the extent been under forest management these 20 years? -

4. How are the lands treated in the Village accounts? ~~How it~~ Have they been notified as a reserve & excluded from Revenue accounts?

5. What is the explanation for delay in settling the ryots claim for compensation? (vide this Office letter No. R. 5463 dt 21.1.14)

I have etc

for Rev. Secretary to Govt

27/5.16

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—25,000—8-8-11.

Rev. Forests

OFFICE OF THE CONSERVATOR OF FORESTS IN MYSORE

No. 12822 *Bangalore, dated* 22nd June 1916.

Enclosures 1

From

M.C. Rama Rao, Esquire, B.A.,
Conservator of Forests in Mysore.

To

V.R. Thyagaraja Iyer, Esq., M.A.,

Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
~~*General and*~~ *Revenue Departments.*

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No R.11110/Ft.131-13-5 dated the 29th ultimo requesting information in connection with the restoration of the lands belonging to one Seshappa of Karlamangala, Magadi taluk, which had been acquired in 1891 for inclusion in the Savandurga State Forest, I have the honour to forward herewith copy pf letter No 685 dated the 7th instant from the District Forest Officer, Bangalore, which furnishes full information on all the points referred to in the above.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Conservator of Forests.

WD 0574--GPD--600--28-2-16

Copy of letter No. 685 dated 7th June 1916, from the the District Forest Officer, Bangalore District, to the - Conservator of Forests in Mysore, Bangalore.

In reply to your Memo No. 12344 dated the 2nd current forwarding a copy of letter No. R.11110/Ft.121-13-5 dated - 29-5-1916, from the Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Revenue Department, and requesting me to furnish full information on the points referred to therein, I have the honour to state as follows:-

(1) Survey Nos. 110, 113 & 115 of Karlamangala village, Magadi Taluk, in question, are 2 acres & 28 guntas in extent but according to Khetwar 3 acres & 36 guntas.

3.36
2.28
1-8

(2) The value of the forest growth on the lands is approximately estimated at Rs. 75/- by the Range Officer and Rs 150/- by the Amildar, and I think the former is more approximate as there are no valuable species on the area.

(3) The extent is not in the management of the Forest - Department as it is outside the Demarcation line.

(4) There is no reference to show that the lands in - question have been notified as a reserve. From the Khetwar of the year Virodhi it is seen that the area of Survey Nos.101, 108, 108, 109, 110, 111, 113,114 & 115 has been ordered to be omitted from the Revenue accounts as the same was ordered to be included in the State Forests.

(5) The petition received with No. 5463 dated 21-1-1914 was referred to the Range Officer, Closepet, on 29-1-1914 by the District Forest Officer and who referred to the Savandurga Forester. From the latter, a report was received on 7-5-1914, and as the report was wanting in details, the Amildar, Magadi, was addressed by me in Yadi No. 601 dated 22-5-1915 when I took charge of the Closepet Range. Mr. C. Vasudeva Rao, as the - Range Officer of the Closepet Range at the time, had failed to

take

take any action on the Forester's reports. A reply was - received from the Amildar on 2-7-1915 and the records resubmitted on the same date to me. A report was made thereon to the Conservator of Forests in Mysore in this office No. 77 dated 23-7-1915.

" C O P Y "

for Conservator of Forests.

Petn of Seshappa
Karlamangala, Magadi

G.R.S. 28]

File No. 121-13
Serial No. 7

Diary No. of letter replied to 1427

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
(Forest)

, *the* 191 .

Date of issue 25-7-1916

Signed by Jagadeva Rama Chandra Rao.

READ— Petn registered No. 1427 of 23.3.1915 from ~~the~~ one Seshappa of Karlamangala village in the Magadi Taluk complaining that he has not been paid any compensation for his lands, S. Nos. 110, 113, 114 & 115 of the said village measuring 2 ac 4 28 gts taken up for inclusion in the Savandurga state forest in the year 1891

~~ORDER THEREON—No.~~

over

SUBJECT.

GPB—50,000—1-12-12

27

and praying that either compensation may be paid to him or the lands restored.

2. Correspondence ending with letter No. 12822 dt 22-6-16 from the Conservator of Forests in appeal reporting on the case and stating that as the said lands have been excluded from the Forest Block while cutting the demarcation, they may be restored to the owner Seshappa as proposed by the Dy-Conservator.—

Order No. R 1107-4
Dated. 25-7-1916.

Government direct that the extent of A. G. 2-28 of land belonging to the petitioner, be restored to him without levying from him the value of tree growth thereon. The

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

2. They are constrained to observe that the unusually long delay of 25 years taken for the disposal of the case, is discreditable to the authorities concerned; and ~~3. Government~~ direct that Ranger Mr. C. Vasudeva Rao be censured for neglecting to dispose of the matter while he held charge of the Belgaum Range.

20/7 KK 21-7-916

Rev - Secretariat

To.

The Conservator of Forests

The Dy. Comr., Bangalore

The Rev. Comr. in Mysore

The Supdt of Rev. Survey & Settlement.

88
14/7/16

No-R/4655
Dt 3-8-16

8/7424 29